	U	NOFFI	CIAL					
Minority Business Opportunity Center (MBOC)  Competitive Panel Evaluation Form								
	•		w Check					
NEC Region:								
Funding Period:	April 1, 200	8 - March	31, 2009					
Geographic Service Area:	, , , ,		<u> </u>					
Applicant Name (SF424 signature):								
Organization Name (If different than applicant name):								
Address:								
City, State, Zip								
Telephone:								
Preliminary Cost Information Section		Pleas	e do not en	ter any dat	a in the gra	y shaded (	cells.	
	Year 1	Yr 1 - %	Year 2	Yr 2 - %	Year 3	Yr 3 - %	Total	Total %
Federal Share	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Non-Federal Cost Share:								
Client Fees	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Cash	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
In-Kind Share	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Total Non-Federal Cost Share (20% Min.)	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
Total Project Cost	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!	\$0	#DIV/0!
If either of the following is answered in the negative,  1. Signed application included - OMB Standard Form  2. Application received by deadline?  3. Application is to operate an MBOC?  (a) Responsive and warrants further evaluation			•	tance"?	Yes	No		
Administrative Review							Points	
Note: Please enter all points deducted as a positive number				1 1 1 1	• •		Deducted	
1. Application (paper submission only) in triplicate - o		and two co	oies? (If no,	deduct 1 pc	oint)		0.0	
2. Table of contents provided? (If no, deduct 1/2 poin		II					0.0	
3. Pages numbered consecutively? (Deduct 1/2 point							0.0	
<ul><li>4. Incomplete Program Narratives? (Deduct a total of</li><li>5. Other Required Forms either missing or not signed</li></ul>							0.0	
Comment for deduction(s) For #5 abvoe, please				umont			0.0	
Comment for deduction(s) For #5 abvoe, please	peciny inis	sing or un	signed doc	ument.				
Total Deductions							0.0	
Summary of Panel Points Awarded			Actual	Percent				
Please do not enter any data in this section		Max Points	Points Awarded	Points Awarded				
Section I. Applicant Capability		40	0.0	0%	Please	do not ente	er data in the	gray
Section II. Resources		20	0.0	0%		Shaded	l cells.	
Section III. Techniques & Methodologies		20	0.0	0%				
Section IV. Proposed Budget/Costs		20	0.0	0%				
Sub-Total		100	0.0					
Section V. Non-Federal Cost Share Bonus		5	0.0					
Administrative Points Deducted		105	0.0		0 15			
Final Score before Oral Presentation		105	0.0			•	ional and held	-
Section VI. Oral Presentation		10	0.0		wnen reques	tea by MBD	A (see FFO po	j. 57)
Final Score after Oral Presentation		115	0.0					

Panelist Name:	Date - Panel Forms:
Signature:	Summary - Page: 1

## **PANELIST INSTRUCTIONS: -**

The competitive review panel will score each MBOC application based upon the evaluation criteria. Points will be given for each evaluation criteria category not to exceed the maximum number of points allowed for each category. Scoring is restricted to the information contained in the application. Previous knowledge concerning the applicant organization or staff may not be taken into consideration at this point.

Scoring sheets have been designed to capture the requirements of the Federal Funding Opportunity Announcement. The rating scales have been designed to give panelists the option of assigning points. Each criterion is provided. However in general, here is a sample range of how points should be allocated:

- a) Zero points if the criteria was not addressed
- b) 0.5 to 1 point if *minimally* addressed. This means the applicant has recognized the criteria but has not provided detailed information.
- c) 1 to 2 points for an *adequate response*. This means that the applicant has recognized the criteria and provided a response that contains some indication that he can satisfy the criteria.
- d) 1.5 to 2.5 points for an extended response. This means that the applicant has provided a detailed discussion of the criteria and given evidence that the criteria will be fully met.
- e) 2 to 5 points for an *outstanding response*. This means that the applicant fully understands the requirements as reflected in the discussion of how the criteria will be met. The applicant's response is substantive and examples are provided where appropriate.
- f) Issue points in 0.5 or whole number increments
- g) You will need to use the "View" Header function to enter the Applicant's Name and Location, and the "Footer" function to enter your name as a panel member and date of paneling.
- h) Start with entering the information highlighted on the 1st page of the Summary sheet.
- i) Enter the required Federal and Non Federal Share in the Preliminary Cost Information Section, do not enter any data in the grey shaded areas.
- j) Administrative Review Enter the appropriate assigned points if applicable in a positive number. The point will be deducted appropriately.
- k) Summary of Panel Points Awarded Do not enter any data in this section (grey shaded). Data will be automatically transferred to this section once you rate and score the various criterion sections.
- I) Please provide a comment on all questions that should correspond with your rating. In addition if you do not provide a score for a question, a comment is also required indicating "no information provided" or your reason for no score.
- n) Please do not attempt to alter this form, as doing this may void the calculation formulas.
- c) Comments are mandatory; please refer to the appropriate page number of application when commenting on a specific requirment.

End Summary "Preliminary Review Checklist"

Panelist Name:	Date - Panel Forms:
Signature:	Summary - Page: 2

Panelist Name:

Initials: \_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 3

	UNOFFICIAL -	-		
	usiness Opertunity (			
	Section I. Applicant Capa	ability		
Maximum Points Allowable = 40		Total Points Av Percentage Av		- 0%
Instructions For this criterion, the applicant must consider and demographics, an assessment of the community's An assessment of the community's needs, prior exof assessment is the applicant's client base and it	s needs, prior experience in max xperience in matchmaking, bro	atchmaking, brokering, coachi okering, coaching and mentori	ng and mer	ntoring.
The following information shall be evaluated:				
A. COMMUNITY - Applicant should includ with emphasis of its knowledge & previou sector, and strategies for enhanceing its gexpanding SGI Firms.	s experience in the minority	community and minority bu		
(Maximum 4 Points)		Points A	warded:	-
Experience and knowledge of the Minority of and expansion; particular emphasis shall be has an establish presence in the geograph the applicant has had an office in the applicant the date of this FF0, and has established with the date of this FF0, and has established with the date of this office in the applicant with the date of this FF0, and has established with the date of this office in the applicant provide outcomes of its organization background community, and minority business sectors	e on expanding SGI firms. Co ic service area at the time of it sable MBOC geographical servorking relationships with purches a narrative discussion and perfectly enough the perfect of the sample of	nsideration will be given as to is application. "Establish presvice area for at least three (3) hasing and financing organizated related successfuly client and experience of the Minorice area? [2 points max]	whether t h ence" mear years prece ions in such	e applicant ns that eding the
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	<ul><li>0.5 pts at minimal level;</li><li>1.5 pts. at extended level;</li></ul>	.0 pts. at adequate level 2.0 pts. at outstanding level	Points	-
Panelist Comments:				
ii) To what extent does the applicant dem for enhancing the Minority and Minority service area? (1 point max.)	-		Points	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1	.0 pts. at adequate level or be	tter	
Panelist Comments:				

MBOC Competition Review		olicant: cation:
<ul> <li>iii) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate knowledge of expanding SGIs and/or rapid growth-potential clients in the defined geographic service area?</li> <li>(1 point max.)         <ul> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>0.5 pts at minimal level;</li> <li>1.0 pts. at adequate leading points if not addressed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Points evel or better	-
Panelist Comments:		
B. Business Consulting (Maximum 5 points)	Points Awarded:	
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: <ul> <li>Experience and knowledge of coaching and mentoring techniques related to serving SGI &amp; rapotential minority firms.</li> <li>Evaluate applicant's discussion on related client outcomes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>i) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in or knowledge of of business consulting with respect to minority firms with emphasis on SGI firms in the geographic service area, and related client outcomes? (5 points max.) <ul> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>1.0 pts at minimal level;</li> <li>2.5 pts. at adequate level;</li> <li>3.5 pts. at extended level;</li> <li>5.0 pts. at outstand</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	pid growth- Points the	-
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: <ul> <li>Experience and knowledge of coaching and mentoring techniques related to serving SGI &amp; rapotential minority firms.</li> <li>Evaluate applicant's discussion on related client outcomes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>i) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in or knowledge of of business consulting with respect to minority firms with emphasis on SGI firms in the geographic service area, and related client outcomes? (5 points max.) <ul> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>1.0 pts at minimal level;</li> <li>2.5 pts. at adequate level</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	pid growth- Points the	
<ul> <li>(Maximum 5 points)</li> <li>Panel Definition: <ul> <li>Experience and knowledge of coaching and mentoring techniques related to serving SGI &amp; rapotential minority firms.</li> <li>Evaluate applicant's discussion on related client outcomes.</li> </ul> </li> <li>i) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate experience in or knowledge of of business consulting with respect to minority firms with emphasis on SGI firms in the geographic service area, and related client outcomes? (5 points max.) <ul> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>1.0 pts at minimal level;</li> <li>2.5 pts. at adequate level;</li> <li>3.5 pts. at extended level;</li> <li>5.0 pts. at outstand</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	pid growth- Points the	

Panelist Name: Initials:

4	pplicant:
ı	ocation:

C. Financing (Maximum 5 points)		Points Awarded	-
<ul> <li>applicant organization's professional working re-minority financial institutions. In addition, review and/or its proposed staff may have in obtaining Operator must implement a process that results</li> <li>i. To what extent does the applicant provide process that results in the awards of finant development and maintenance of database maintenance of a database listing of poten</li> </ul>	w any other public/private sector involvement the financings that could assist them in operating the in the award of contract and financial transaction	ninorities and non at the applicant a MBOC. The MBOC ons to MBE clients.  a (, (a)	
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. At adequate le 2.0 pts. At extended level; 3.0 pts At outstandin		-
Panelist Comments:			
ii. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate expelloan packages and/or bonds applicable to [1 point max]  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed		Points evel or better	-
Panelist Comments:			
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	ere success stories provided? (1 points max		-
Panelist Comments:			
Panelist Name: Initials:		Section I - Pa	ge: 5

MBOC Competition Review			olicant: cation:
D. Procurement and Contracting (Maximum 5 points)		Points Awarded	
entities and other minority businesses, as wel	vledge of public and private sector contracting op Il as demonstrated expertise in assisting clients in rt staff background in support of proposal claims.	nto supply chains. (5 po	oints max.)
	ure procurements, bids, etc. The applicant shoul		
by means of; (a) development of maintenand development and maintenace of a database	urement/contracting transactions to MBE clie nce of a database listing of viable MBEs; (b) t e listing of potential procurement/contracting relationship; and (d) brokering awarded procu	the urement level	_
- 4.10.100			
<ul><li>ii. To what extent does the applicant procurments to minority entities, and expe chains? [1 point max]</li></ul>	have experience in facilitating contracts and ertise in assisting MBE clients into supply	I Points	_
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate	level	
Panelist Comments:			
Panelist Name: Initials:		Section I - Pa	age: 6

MBOC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:	
iii. To what extent does the applicant demonstrate an ability to assist  Minority entities the areas of joint ventures and/or teaming, and were actual success stories provided? [1 point max]  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  O.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	oints	-
E. Financing Networks Points Awar (Maximum 5 points)	rded	-
<b>Panel Definition</b> Assess the applicant's resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment may be beneficial to minority-owned firms.	t community that	
Assess the applicant's resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment may be beneficial to minority-owned firms.  i. To what extent does the applicant's proposal reflect knowledge of the resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment community that can be beneficial to minority-owned firms? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  O.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed  1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	Points	<u> </u>
Assess the applicant's resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment may be beneficial to minority-owned firms.  i. To what extent does the applicant's proposal reflect knowledge of the resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment community that can be beneficial to minority-owned firms? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better	Points	<u>-</u>
Assess the applicant's resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment may be beneficial to minority-owned firms.  i. To what extent does the applicant's proposal reflect knowledge of the resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment community that can be beneficial to minority-owned firms? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  O.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed  1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	Points	-
Assess the applicant's resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment may be beneficial to minority-owned firms.  i. To what extent does the applicant's proposal reflect knowledge of the resources and professional relationships within the corporate, banking and investment community that can be beneficial to minority-owned firms? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level  Panelist Comments:	Points	

Initials: \_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 7

Zero points if not addressed

Panelist Comments:			
iii. To what extent does the applicant demoi financial institutions capable to provide		Points	-
MBE clients in the construction industrie	s? (1 point max.)		
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequa	te level or better	
Panelist Comments:			
iv. To what extent does the applicant demoi	nstrate working relationships with	Points	_
financial entities capable of providing e	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Minority firms? (1 point max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequa	te level or better	
Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:			
i aliensi comments.			
F. Establishment of a Self-Sustainable Service (Maximum 3 points)	Model	Points Awarded	-
Panel Definition  Assess the applicant's summary plan to estable	lish a self-sustainable model for continued serv	vices to the Minority	
community and MBE clients beyond the MBD		·	
i. To what extent does the applicant describ	e a plan to establish self-sustaining	Points	_
model for continuing to serve the MBE co MBDA funds? (2 point maximum)	mmunity beyond receipt of		
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequa		
Zero points if not addressed	1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstan	ding level	
Panelist Name: Initials:		Section I - P	age: 8

Panelist Name:
Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ Section I - Page: 9

**Panelist Comments:** 

MBOC Competition Review		Applican Location	
LI Voy Staff		Points	
H. Key Staff (Maximum 10 points)		Points	-
In particular, make an assessment that determi	te and proposed role of staff who will operate the MBC ines whether the proposed key staff possess the expendences successfully deliver services as outlined in the work reposed director.	rtise in	
	ge transcripts, (d) qualification standards/ y staff members, resumes, position descriptions, There is no requirement for the Operator or	Points	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed Zero points if P.D. less than 100% time	<ul> <li>0.5 pts if one of three items provided for P.D.</li> <li>1.0 pts if two of three items provided for P.D.</li> <li>1.5 pts if three of three items provided for P.D.</li> <li>0.5 pts can be added if resume(s) for other key staf</li> </ul>	f are provided	
Panelist Comments:			
<ul> <li>ii. To what extent does the proposed staff (no with SGI and/or rapid growth-potential clied Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>			
Panelist Comments:			
<ul> <li>iii. To what extent does the proposed staff has securing financial transactions for potent         Rating Scale - points, if addressed:         Zero points if not addressed     </li> <li>Panelist Name:</li> </ul>	• • •		

Initials: \_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 10

Panelist Name:

Initials: \_\_\_\_

Section I - Page: 11

Panelist Comments:			
	with private and/or	Points er	-
v. To what extent do the qualifications, experience a posses the expertise in utilizing information systemating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 possessed   Zero points if not addressed		Points er	-
vi. To what extent does the applicant maximize tota available for the MBOC in a program year? (1 po Calculation = (total brokering hrs+marketing hou Note: total consulting hours = staff and outside Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 p	vints max.) Irs)/total staff hours consulting resources Its if % of total available consulting hours/yr = 60-69.		-
Zero points if not addressed 1.0 p Zero points if less than 60%	ots if % of total available consulting hours/yr = 70% or	groater	
·	ns if % of total available consulting flours/yr = 70% of	greater	

**End Section I** 

Panelist Name:

Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ Section I - Page: 12

	UNOFFICIAL		
	ness Opportunity Center (MBOC) mpetitive Panel Evaluation Form		
	Section II. Resources		
Maximum Points Allowable = 20		nts Awarded: ige Awarded:	0.0 0%
<b>Instructions</b> For this criterion, the applicant must show how it plan		not enter data in ated to resources.	gray cells
A. Resources (Maximum 8 points)	Po	ints Awarded	•
Panel Definition: Assess those resources (not included as part including (but not limited to) existing prior and/immediate success for the MBOC.	of non-federal cost sharing) that will be used, /or current data lists that willl serve in fostering		
i. Does the applicant provide a list of establish For example: banks, financial institutions, bo business consultants, chambers of commerc local, and private technical assistance, provid to assist minority companies? (2 points max. Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	onding companies, ce, trade associations, state, ders that are available	Points	-
Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding le		
Panelist Comments:			
<ul> <li>ii. Does the applicant demonstrate the ability of analysis of procurement and financial databed Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li> <li>Zero points if not addressed</li> </ul>			-
Panelist Comments:			

Panelist Name: Initials:

the work requirements (not included as page 2)	es will be utilized to accomplish art of the cost-sharing	Points	-
arrangement)? (2 points max) Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate le		
Zero points if not addressed	1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding	j ievei	
Panelist Comments:			
iv. Does the applicant discuss how it plans to and maintain a network of resources? (2)		Points	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate le		
Zero points if not addressed	1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding	g level	
Panelist Comments:			
B. Location		Points Awarded	-
		Points Awarded	-
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:			-
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE	EC. Applicant is enco	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE	EC. Applicant is enco	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:  Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio to establish a location for the MBEC. It is en existing offices in the geographic service are	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE acouraged to establish location in a building which is ea.	EC. Applicant is enco s separate and apart	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition:  Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio to establish a location for the MBEC. It is en existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBO and apart from existing operator offices? (2)	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE acouraged to establish location in a building which is ea.  OC will be located separate	EC. Applicant is enco	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio to establish a location for the MBEC. It is en existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBO and apart from existing operator offices? (Seating Scale - points, if addressed:	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE acouraged to establish location in a building which is ea.  OC will be located separate	EC. Applicant is enco s separate and apart	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio to establish a location for the MBEC. It is en existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBO and apart from existing operator offices? (in Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE acouraged to establish location in a building which is ea.  OC will be located separate (2 points max.)  2 pts. if proposed	EC. Applicant is enco s separate and apart	
B. Location (Maximum 2 points)  Panel Definition: Assessment of the Applicant's strategic ratio to establish a location for the MBEC. It is en existing offices in the geographic service are  i. Does the applicant provide proof that MBO and apart from existing operator offices? (Seating Scale - points, if addressed:	onale for the proposed physical location of the MBE acouraged to establish location in a building which is ea.  OC will be located separate (2 points max.)  2 pts. if proposed	EC. Applicant is enco s separate and apart	

Panelist Name:
Initials: \_\_\_\_\_ Section II - Page: 15

	MBOC Competition Review	Applic Locat	
D.	Equipment Points Awards (Maximum 5 points)	∌d	-
	Panel Definition  Assess how the applicant intends to accomplish the computer, hardware and software requirements.  Note, it is permissable for the applicant to propose computers that are older than 2 but less than 4 years.  In order to waive computer age limitation, applicant must provide documentation to support internal hardware meets computer requirements as outlined in the FFO. Please refer to program manager for assistance.		
	<ul> <li>i. To what extent has the applicant met the computer requirements         with respect to hardware and age of computers? (1 point max)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed:</li></ul>	nts	
	Panelist Comments:		
	ii. Does the applicant provide (a) network map, (b) agreement to adhere to  MBDA security requirements? (3 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed per item Please provide sum of two in box  Panelist Comments:	nts	
	iii. To what extent has the applicant proposal demonstrate adherence to meeting website, URL and Internet information requirements? (1 point max.)	nts	
	Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pt at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level  Panelist Name:		

Initials: \_\_

Section II - Page: 16

Section II - Page: 17

Panelist Name:

Initials: \_\_\_

MBOC Competition Review	Applicant: Location:

s

	UNOFFICIAL		
Minority Bu	siness Opportunity Center (I	MBOC)	
	Competitive Panel Evaluation Form		
	า III. Techniques and Methodologi		
Maximum Points Allowable = 20		Total Points Awarded:	- 00/
Instructions		Percentage Awarded: lease do not enter data in gr	o%
For this criterion, the applicant must show how it It is important that the applicant adhere as much	plans to carry out the MBOC work requirer	nents.	
A. Performance Measures (Maximum 10 Points)		Points Awarded:	•
Panel Definition:  Assess the proposal for each program year financial information and market resources applicant will create MBOC brand recognition goals. In particular, emphasis may be placed client service hours and how it accounts for the panel of	available in the geographic service area (in on (marketing plan); and how the applicant ed on the manner in which the applicant ma	cluding existing client list); how will satisfy program performand tches MBOC performance goa	the ce
i. To what extent does the applicant prop	oose to meet and or exceed the	Points	-
minimum performance levels? (2 poir Rating Scale - pts if addressed: Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	nts max.)  0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequals 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding the second sec		
ii. To what extent does the applicant's pr			-
and capacity for understanding its ex it plans to use this knowledge in a Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed			
Panelist Comments:			

Panelist Name:
Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

Initials: \_\_\_

Section III - Page: 21

<ul> <li>iii. To what extent does the applicant's proposal reflect a system that corresponds to or may compliment MBDA's tracking and validating contracts and financings? (2 point max.)</li> <li>Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed</li> <li>1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level</li> </ul>	-
Panelist Comments:	
<ul> <li>iv. To what extent does the applicant relate each performance measure to the financial, information and market resources available in the defined geographic service area? (2 points max.)         Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed         1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level     </li> <li>Panelist Comments:</li> </ul>	
v. To what extent does the applicant's performance outcomes match the proposed quarterly performance breakdown and estimated client service hours delivered to clients by MBOC/MBE annual sales range. These hours must correspond to the applicant's proposed budget. (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	-
Panelist Comments:	
B. Start-up Phase Points Awarded:  Panelist Name:	-

ſ٨	lav	imi	ım	3	PΛ	ints	١
ιn	пах		шп	J	ru	IIII	,

Pane	ח ו	afin	itia	٦n	

Assess the proposal as to how the applicant will commence MBOC operations within the initial 30 day period. The MBOC shall have thirty (30) days to become fully operational after an award is made. (see FFO Appendix A, Work and Training Requirements). Fully operational means that all staff is hired, signs are up, furniture and equipment are in place and operational, all necessary forms are developmed (e.g., client engagement letters, other standard correspondence etc.) and the Center is ready to open its doors to the public.

i. Program Operators have 30 days to become fully operational after an award is made, does the applicant give assurance that this will happen. Fully operational means that all staff is hired, all signs are up, all items of furniture and equipment are in place and operational, all stationery forms are developed and the Center is ready to open its doors to the public. To what extent has the applicant assured the execution of the stated items? (2 points max.)

Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level Zero points if not addressed

Danalist	Comments:
Panelist	Comments:

ii. To what extent does the applicant include a description in its proposal showing how they intend to establish a detailed organizational & functional framework for the management and operation of the MBOC should include but not limited to; how the Center will recruit staff and clients as well as leverage its current roster of MBEs?) (1 point max.)

Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pt at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level

Zero points if not addressed

## Panelist Comments:

C. Work Requirements Execution Plan. (Maximum 7 Points)

Points Awarded:

Panelist Name:

Initials:

<b>Panel Definition:</b> Assess the proposal as it relates to how effectively and efficiently all staff time will be used to achieve the work requestricularly with respect to periods beyond the start-up phase.	iirements,
<ul> <li>i) To what extent does the applicant include a description for how it intends to deliver services in the defined geographic service area and the methodology for accomplishing the Work Requirements? (2 points max)</li> </ul>	-
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	
Panelist Comments:	
ii) To what extent does the applicant include a detailed work plan, including an estimated client	
service hours to be delivered to clients (by MBE annual sales range - client fee chart) which	
delineates a schedule of proposed activities and milestones for implementing tasks under the award? (3 points max)	
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pt at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level  Zero points if not addressed 2.0 pts at extended level; 3.0 pts at outstanding level	
Panelist Comments:	
Fanelist Comments:	
iii) To what extent does the applicant indicate how it will utilize staff to execute	
the work plan? Was a staff allocation summary provided? (2 points max.)	
Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better  Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts at extended level; 2.0 pts at outstanding level	
Panelist Comments:	
End Section III	

Panelist Name: Initials:

	UNOFFICIAL		
	ness Opportunity Center (ME	BOC)	
Section	IV. Proposed Budget and Costs		
Maximum Points Allowable = 20		otal Points Awarded: Percentage Awarded:	- 0%
Instructions For this criterion, applicants must submit separate by Costs to the organization are expenses that it will income a budget narrative. The budget narrative must provide be used and why. The proposed budget must be appropriate to The budget narrative must provide sufficient information to the program narrative.  The cost criterion is comprised of two parts:  (a) Analyses of the reasonableness, allowability and	Ple udgets and narratives for each of the three four in order for it to operate effectively. This de information on how the money is going to to the work requirements of the MBOC and the appl tion to justify line item expenditures in the S d allocability of costs.	ase do not enter data in gra funding periods. s section must contain o licant's proposal overall.	
<ul><li>(b) Analysis of the applicant's proposed cost share.</li><li>1. Reasonableness, Allowability and Allocability (Maximum 5 points)</li></ul>		Points Awarded:	-
Panel Definition: Information is located in the Form SF-424A sec of the program narrative. All of the proposed proposed budget. From the proposed budget line-item narrative.  i. To what extent does the proposal provide for each line item (by object class categor in the proposed budget which justifies an cost? Did the applicant include detailed of for the activities identified in the Announce Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed	rogram costs expenditures should be discustinge benefits and other percentage item call an adequate descriptive narrative ry) of the Federal and Non-Federal Costs d sufficiently breaks down each propose costs for staff participation, travel, and expenditures the sufficient of the s	ssed and the budget line item loculations should match the  Points  ed  ed  expenditures  ate level	1
ii. Are the costs reasonable, allowable und	er the cost principals, and allocable	Points	
to an MBEC Award? (1 point max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: Zero points if not addressed	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequa	ate level or better	
Panelist Comments:			
Panelist Name: Initials:		Section IV - Page:	: 24

	Applicant: Location:	
iii. To what extent are direct costs allocated to key consulting staff? (1 point max.)  This is best evaluated as a percentage of total direct costs.  Calculate: sum of salary + fringe benefits divided by overall budget.  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  0 pts if less than 40%  2 pts if 40-59.9%  1.0 pt if 60% or better	Points	
2. Proposed Cost Sharing - Non Federal Cost Share Points (Maximum 5 points)	Awarded:	-
• •		
<b>Panel Definition:</b> Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative.	on	
Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section	on Points	
Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative.  i. Applicant must propose at least a 20% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 20% non-federal cost share, and were client fees proposed? (3 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  3.0 points if 20% or more is proposed Zero points if not addressed		
Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative.  i. Applicant must propose at least a 20% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 20% non-federal cost share, and were client fees proposed? (3 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed Zero points if 10% cost share not met.		
Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" section of the program narrative.  i. Applicant must propose at least a 20% non-Federal cost share amount of the total project cost. To what extent does the applicant's proposal meet the 20% non-federal cost share, and were client fees proposed? (3 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed Zero points if 10% cost share not met.		

Panelist Name: Initials:

MBOC Competition Review

Applicant:

MBOC Competition Review		Applicant: Location:
E	End Section IV	

Panelist Name: Initials:

UNOFFICIAL							
Minority Business Opportunity Center (MBOC)  Competitive Panel Evaluation Form							
Section V - Non-Federal Cost Share Bonus							
Maximum Points Allowable = 5	Total Points Awarded:	-					
Panel Definition:							
Proposals with cost sharing for year 1 and 2 which exceeds 20% that is allocated to the	MBOC						
will be awarded bonus points on the following scale:							
- more than 20% but less than 25% = 1 point							
- 25% or more, but less than 30% = 2 points							
- 30% or more, but less than 35% = 3 points							
- 35% or more, but less than 40% = 4 points - 40% or more = 5 points							
Information is located in the Form SF-424A section and the "Proposed Budget/Costs" s	ection						
1. Non-Federal Cost Share Bonus Points (Maximum of 5 Points)	Points Awarded:						
What percent over the required 20% non Federal Cost Share did the applicant propo	ose for all program years?						
Panelist Comments:							
End Section VI - Oral Presentation							

Panelist Name: Initials:

Applicant: Location:

## -- UNOFFICIAL --**Minority Business Opportunity Center (MBOC) Competitive Panel Evaluation Form** Section VI. Oral Presentation Oral Presentations are optional and only at the request of MBDA Maximum Points Allowable = 10 **Total Points Awarded:** Percentage Awarded: 0% Instructions Please do not enter data in gray cells Oral presentations are optional and held only when requested by MBDA. This action may be initiated for the top two (2) ranked applications for each project and will be applied on a consistent basis for each project competition. Oral presentation will be used to establish a final evaluation and ranking. The applicant's oral presentation will be evaluated as to the extent to which the presentation demonstrates the following: a) To what extent does the applicant demonstrate how they will effectively and efficiently assist **Points** MBDA in the accomplishment of its mission? (2 points max.) Rating scale - poins, if address: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level **Panelist Comments:** b) How did the applicant demonstrate its business operating priorities designed to manage a **Points** successful MBOC ? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level **Panelist Comments:** To what extent did the applicant describe its management philosphy that will achieve an effective **Points** balance between micromanagement and complete autonomy for its Project Director? (2 points max.) Rating Scale - points, if addressed: 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better Zero points if not addressed 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level **Panelist Comments:** To what extent did the applicant outline its robust search criteria for the identification for its Project Director?

Panelist name: Section VI - Page: 29

**Points** 

Initials:

Director? (1 point max.)

	MB0C Competition Review			plicant: ocation:
	Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better		
	i anenot comments.			
<del>:</del> )	To what extend did the applicant discuss and explain it policies and procedures? (1 point max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	s effective employment recruitment and retention Policy 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better	oints	
)	To what extend did the applicant demonstrate a compe performance requirements? (2 points max.)  Rating Scale - points, if addressed:  Zero points if not addressed  Panelist Comments:	titive and innovative approach to exceeding Po 0.5 pts at minimal level; 1.0 pts. at adequate level or better 1.5 pts. at extended level; 2.0 pts. at outstanding level	oints	

**End Section VI - Oral Presentation** 

Panelist name: Section VI - Page: 30 Initials: